

KEITH WALKER,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) Case No. 15-cv-00395-JPG-SCW
)
SHERIFF JOHN LAKIN, *et al.*,)
)
Defendants.)

This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) (Doc. 42) of Magistrate Judge Stephen C. Williams with regard to defendant Sergeant Dover’s Motion [Doc. 36] for Summary Judgment. The plaintiff filed an objection [Doc. 44] to the R & R.

The R & R recommends granting Sergeant Dover’s motion because the plaintiff has not demonstrated actual facts to recover on his claim. Plaintiff’s objection is exactly the same as his response to the motion – “that the Court will disregard their order and take a good look at my complaint.” [Doc. 44]. A party must raise *specific* objections to the report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). A general objection to the magistrate judge’s decision, without more, does not satisfy this standard. *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 741 (7th Cir. 1999).

Although plaintiff filed an objection, it was a general objection that did not cite to any specific error of law or fact within the R & R. Further, the R & R correctly addressed plaintiff's request for the Court to "closely review his complaint" and the Court finds no error in its analysis.

The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety [Doc. 42]. Defendant Sergeant Dover's Motion [Doc. 36] for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED** and defendant Sergeant Dover is **DIMISSED** without prejudice. There are no remaining defendants in this matter. As such, this matter is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and the Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment accordingly. Any pending motions are denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 8/9/2017

s/J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE